EXHIBIT A

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
2	HOUSTON DIVISION		
3			
4	TRACY YVETTE SCOTT * 4:20-CV-2261 * Houston, Texas		
5	VS. *		
6	* 2:58 p.m. MIKE BLOOMBERG 2020, INC. * February 24, 2021		
7			
8	MOTION HEARING		
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEITH P. ELLISON		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12			
13			
14	,		
15	713.526.2900		
16			
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Rex D. VanMiddlesworth		
18	Jennifer Meghan McCaig THOMPSON & KNOCHT, LLP		
19	512.469.6180		
20			
21	Gregory William Curry		
22	THOMPSON & KNIGHT, LLP 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500		
23	Dallas, Texas 75201 214.969.1700		
24			
25			
	Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com		
	commy c. banchez, www, oww jestourtreportergaur.com		

```
1
    Court Reporter:
     Johnny C. Sanchez, RPR, RMR, CRR
    515 Rusk, #8004
Houston, Texas 77002
713.250.5581
 2
 3
 4
     Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography.
     Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                  Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com
```

	1	THE COURT: I hope you survived last week		
	2	with undue trouble. We'll take appearances of counsel,		
	3	beginning with plaintiff Scott.		
	4	MR. ROBINSON: This is attorney Carroll		
02:58:38	5	Robinson for plaintiff.		
	6	THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Robinson.		
	7	MR. ROBINSON: How are you doing, Your		
	8	Honor?		
	9	THE COURT: Okay.		
02:58:44	10	MR. VanMIDDLESWORTH: Yes, Your Honor. This		
, and the second se		is Rex VanMiddlesworth for Mike Bloomberg 2020, Inc. With		
	12	me on the call Meghan McCaig and Greg Curry.		
	13	THE COURT: Welcome to all of you.		
	14	This, of course, involves an unfortunate		
02:59:03	15	set of circumstances which is different from whether it's		
	16 a viable claim for relief.			
	17	I do find, Mr. Robinson, that defendant's		
	18	motion is generally well taken. I want to give you all		
	19	the time in the world, though, that you wanted to respond		
02:59:21	20 to it. You can assume I've read the papers.			
	22	MR. ROBINSON: Well, Your Honor, essentially		
	23	the crux of the defense argument is not that there was no		
	24	promise. From all the filing before the Court is clear		
02:59:37 25 that the statement was made before, concurrent w.		that the statement was made before, concurrent with and		

Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com

subsequent to employment, and their sole argument --1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, I agree with you 3 entirely. Misrepresentations were made. 4 MR. ROBINSON: Yes. Absolutely, they were 5 made. 02:59:57 6 And the core argument from the defense is 7 they have a written agreement characterized as "at will 8 with a requirement for a written amendment," but Texas 9 case law recognizes the ability for a contract to be orally modified. And the contract at issue in this case 03:00:16 10 is not even subject to the statute of fraud because it was 11 12 performable in less than one year. 13 And so, if that's the case, the issue is 14 we have, our argument is there was an oral modification to 15 the contract simply in terms of the term, that no change 03:00:37 16 in the payment for pay period, except simply that it went 17 from an at-will contract to a contract at term by oral 18 modification made after the employee was brought onboard. 19 THE COURT: But didn't the language in the 20 contract always call for at-will employment? 03:00:55 21 MR. ROBINSON: But Texas law recognizes that 22 a contract can be subject to oral modification. 23 the argument we're having. I mean, if it's subject to 24 oral modification and a promise was made, if you accept 25 that the written document is the contract at issue, then 03:01:17

the question is, was the modification made by the oral 1 2 promise after she was employed that she would be employed 3 until November 2020, and Texas law recognizes that even a contract subject to the statute of fraud -- which this one 4 is not -- there can be an oral modification. 5 03:01:39 6 THE COURT: But even if we accept all of 7 that as true, Texas law would not permit reliance on a 8 statement to Vanity Fair or a statement to NBC, or report 9 by NBC news, or a *Texas Monthly* excerpt. That's not the kind of way in which you would modify a written contract, 10 03:01:57 11 is it? 12 MR. ROBINSON: Well, Your Honor, the 13 affidavit filed by Tracy Scott in the amended complaint 14 says she was told that she would be employed until November 2020 by the leadership team of the Bloomberg 15 03:02:16 16 campaign team in Texas, Dr. Carla Brailey and Ms. Turner. 17 This is exactly the point of why the case shouldn't be 18 dismissed at the pleading stage because I'm still entitled 19 to discovery to confirm in fact that the statement was 20 made because it's on documents produced by the campaign, 03:02:35 21 and discovery would at least allow me to talk to the 22 lawyers, the HR folks about why, in such a sophisticated 23 operation, they were still out publicly making those 24 promises after employment of Ms. Scott and others, but 25 specifically of Ms. Scott, and why they allowed the Texas 03:03:01

	1	leadership to make the promise to her, which is validated		
	2	by the fact that other Texas employees were also made the		
	3	same promise after employment.		
2		THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.		
03:03:24	5	Let me say, one of my former law clerks was caught up with		
6		the very same problem. He signed up for campaign, too. I		
	7	do recall the statements that were made. I recall		
	8	publicly that was said that there would be employment		
	9	until the election. And I'm disappointed in the Bloomberg		
03:03:44	10	campaign they did not honor that commitment, but I think		
	11	that's different from whether we have a contract		
	12	modification.		
	13	Let me hear from Mr. VanMiddlesworth.		
03:04:01 15 In Octob		MR. VanMIDDLESWORTH: Thank you, Your Honor.		
		In October, this Court dismissed		
		plaintiff's claim for breach of contract promissory		
17 estoppel.		estoppel.		
18 THE COURT: Ye		THE COURT: Yes. I know.		
	19	MR. VanMIDDLESWORTH: There's nothing that		
03:04:08	20	changes that.		
	21	We agree, Your Honor, you pointed out		
	22	there is a specific employment contract, it is it says		
	23	it can be terminated by either party at any time, and so		
	24	on?		
03:04:21	25	Texas law takes written agreements		
		Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com		

seriously. And then once plaintiffs sign, that sign could 1 2 not be more clear on the terms of the agreement. And not 3 only does the amended complaint not salvage these claims, but it actually makes even more clear that should be 4 5 dismissed. 03:04:39 6 As you know, there are a bunch of articles 7 attached and linked to videos attached to the amended 8 complaint, but one wasn't. Footnote 1 in the complaint 9 the plaintiff includes a link to a video interview of the candidate itself in January 2020 when Ms. Scott was 10 03:04:58 employed. And in that very interview that the candidate 11 12 specifically says that if he is not the nominee, the 13 campaign would not keep all of the 1,000 employees it had hired for the primaries. That's about minute three and a 14 15 half in that link in the amended complaint. 03:05:22 16 Now, the amended complaint quotes some 17 later things that the candidate indicated he would keep 18 some staff and offices, but it ignores the specific 19 statement in that footnote in the complaint that the 20 candidate made clear that the plaintiffs -- neither 03:05:36 21 plaintiff nor anyone else would be quaranteed a job. 22 As to the oral modification. Again, the 23 original complaint complained representatives of the 24 campaign made statements about employment through November, both before and after. And the amended 25 03:05:53

	1	complaint reiterates those claims and puts them in bold		
	2	type, but it adds nothing new.		
	Those statements, even if made, would not			
	4	negate the specific at-will terms of the agreement. A		
03:06:09	5	unilateral statement or promise to enhance the benefits of		
an employment contract doesn't create		an employment contract doesn't create an enforceable		
	7	obligation, be it a promise of a bonus, like in the		
	8	Casanova case, or pay increase, or extension of the term.		
	9	And the reason is that there's no consideration to support		
03:06:31	10	that unilateral promise. That's what Casanova says quite		
	11	clearly. And the complaint makes it clear that there is		
	12	no consideration to support it.		
	13	Paragraph 33 says there were no changes to		
plaintiff's obligations under the written agreeme result of this claim modification. Quote, the		plaintiff's obligations under the written agreement as a		
		result of this claim modification. Quote, the		
	16	modification did not materially alter any obligation.		
So, in short, there is no con		So, in short, there is no consideration		
and no meeting of the minds on any new cont		and no meeting of the minds on any new contract. The		
19 written contract under Texas law is		written contract under Texas law is valid and stands. And		
03:07:06	20	even accepting plaintiff's allegations as true, which		
	21	there are not, there is no claim for a breach of oral		
	22	contract.		
	23	MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, let me just		
	24	respond.		
03:07:15 25 We have at least two Texas Su		We have at least two Texas Supreme Court		
		Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com		

cases that go back to as early as 1955 that recognizes 1 2 that a written contract can be orally modified, even if 3 the written contract is subject to the statute of fraud, and the argument that if the contract of the statement is 4 true and it was made, and the plaintiff agreed to stay on 5 03:07:38 and work until November, that's not consideration. 6 7 are discovery issues and oral -- and substantive argument 8 at trial. I mean, we're at the pleading stages, and they're saying that this case should be dismissed without 9 discovery when in fact they admit that the statement was 10 03:08:04 11 made. 12 Texas Supreme Court law acknowledges that a written contract could be orally modified, and that the 13 14 statements were made by Bloomberg. And even if you accept that it was not everybody, they haven't -- I haven't had a 15 03:08:21 16 chance at this stage to prove that Ms. Scott, through 17 discovery, was one of the people that would be covered by 18 the extension of employment. 19 Then, more importantly, their own actions, 20 I mean, the last time on our last hearing, the Court 03:08:41 21 described it as magnanimous when in fact there was 22 evidence that they themselves waived the written 23 requirements for amendment to the contract. 24 So they can't have it always, they can't 25 start out saying the statement was made at the wrong time 03:08:57

	1	but now was made at the right time, that the law
	2	THE COURT: I need
	3	MR. ROBINSON: Recognizes oral modification,
	4	and that they themselves modified the contract
03:09:10	5	inconsistent with the modification provision, but that
	6	provision should now be used at the pleading stage to
	7	dismiss Ms. Scott's case.
	8	THE COURT: We're going to take a short
	9	break. I'll be right back with you.
03:09:29	10	(Recessed at 3:09 p.m.)
	11	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. We're back.
	12	Mr. Robinson, I know you're a good lawyer,
	13	I know you feel strongly about this case, but I think
		under Texas law I can't offer you any relief. I don't
		think there's a valid defense to the motion to dismiss.
	16	I'm going to have dismiss it and dismiss all the pending
	17	motions with it.
	18	Thank you very much. Thank you.
	19	(Recessed at 3:11 p.m.)
03:11:12	20	(COURT CALLED BACK INTO SESSION)
	21	THE COURT: Okay. This is Keith Ellison.
	22	Mr. Sanchez, the court reporter, is here with us.
	23	Who is here for the respective parties.
	24	MR. ROBINSON: That is Carroll Robinson, for
03:47:01 25 plaintiff Tracy Scott.		plaintiff Tracy Scott.
		Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com

	1	MR. VanMIDDLESWORTH: For defendant, Rex			
	2	VanMiddlesworth and Meghan McCaig.			
	3	THE COURT: Okay. I understand you got			
	4	disconnected right at the critical time Mr. Robinson?			
03:47:13	5	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I was.			
6 THE COURT: I apologize for that. W					
	7	a whole new world trying to do things by telephone. I'v			
	8	had plenty on my end, too. So I understand how it			
	9	happens.			
03:47:31	10	In terms of the motion to dismiss, on the			
	11	question of contract modification, I'm afraid Ms. Scott			
	12	fails to plead facts sufficient to indicate a contract			
	13	modification based on subsequent oral promises.			
	14	She attempts to run on two sets of			
03:47:55	15	promises. First, she alleges that various senior campaign			
	16	employees, including Mr. Bloomberg himself, made public			
	17	statements about continuing employment until November.			
	18	But these public statements cannot amount to a meeting of			
	19	9 the mind sufficient to modify express term of a written			
03:48:15	20	contract. And the nature of the statements are such they			
	21	don't reference her in forming agreement specifically.			
	22	And, secondly, Ms. Scott attaches her own			
	23	affidavit to the response, and the same similar affidavit			
	24	to motion for leave to supplement the petition. Those			
03:48:38	25	affidavits, of course, present a number of problems:			

First, they're improper as they are not referenced in the 1 amended complaint, and the Court is limited to pleadings 2 3 when considering a motion to dismiss. Those allegations could have been made at 4 5 the time the motion -- at the time the complaint was 03:48:51 6 amended, but they weren't. But more generally, more 7 fundamentally, I don't think these statements are 8 sufficiently specific to modify a existing contract. 9 Contract says, "No statement varying any 10 of the terms of the letter shall be enforceable unless set 03:49:14 forth in writing signed by a duly authorized officer of 11 12 the organization." 13 I acknowledge this is not a statute of frauds contract, but still I think the language is hard to 14 15 ignore. 03:49:27 16 On promissory estoppel, Judge Rosenthal 17 said in a recent case here in the Southern District, "When 18 a contract between the parties, in other words, the 19 alleged promise, promissory estoppel is not applicable to 20 that promise. Instead, the wronged party must seek 03:49:45 21 damages under the contract." 22 And on the breach of express warranty, 23 it's a clever argument, the notion that Ms. Scott sold -the campaign ["sold"] (inaudible) of Ms. Scott's services 24 25 of the opportunity to help defeat Donald Trump, but this 03:50:04

	1	interpretation of the sale of services is not supported by		
	2	any case law that we've been able to find. It seems to be		
	3	a straightforward contract for employment.		
	4	So for all the those reasons, and despite		
03:50:19	5	the greatest respect I have for you, Mr. Robinson, I'm		
	6	going to have to grant the motion to dismiss, and also		
	7	dismiss other pending motions.		
	8	MR. ROBINSON: No problem, Your Honor.		
	9	MR. VanMIDDLESWORTH: Thank you, Your Honor.		
03:50:30	10	MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I just want make		
11 sure I'm clear and it's on the record. There is a f		sure I'm clear and it's on the record. There is a finding		
	12	of fact that in fact the affidavit reflects that a promise		
	13	was made, but you're saying it wasn't specific enough?		
	14	THE COURT: Let me think about that before I		
03:50:49	agree to something.			
16 I think the affid		I think the affidavit is improper. So it		
17 can't be considered at all.		can't be considered at all. And I think the statements		
18 ma		made contributed to Ms. Brailey are too general to be a		
19 modification of a specific of		modification of a specific contract.		
03:51:09	20	Thank you very much.		
	21	(Recessed at 3:51 p.m.)		
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR, certify that as an Official Federal Court Reporter for the Southern 4 5 District of Texas, Houston Division, I have transcribed the audio-recorded hearing of the foregoing entitled case 6 7 to the best of my ability; that any inaudible designations 8 are because of audio or telephonic interference that 9 precluded me from understanding the words spoken; and that 10 the foregoing typewritten matter contains a full, true and correct transcript of my understanding of the aforesaid 11 12 proceedings as reported to the best of my skill and ability. 13 14 15 16 17 /S/ JOHNNY C. SANCHEZ 18 Johnny C. Sanchez, RPR, RMR, CRR 19 Official Court Reporter 20 21 22 23 24 25 Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreporter@aol.com

#8004 [1] - 2:2	argument [8] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:6, 4:14,	7:16, 7:19, 7:23, 8:1, 8:11, 12:2, 12:5
/S _[1] - 14:17	4:23, 9:4, 9:7, 12:23	computer [1] - 2:4
1 [1] - 7:8	articles [1] - 7:6	computer-assisted [1] - 2:4
1,000 [1] - 7:13	assisted [1] - 2:4	concurrent [1] - 3:25
1500 [1] - 1:22	assume [1] - 3:21	confirm [1] - 5:19
1722 [1] - 1:22	at-will [3] - 4:17, 4:20, 8:4	consideration [4] - 8:9, 8:12, 8:17, 9:6
1900 [1] - 1:18	attached [2] - 7:7	considered [1] - 13:17
1955 [1] - 9:1	attaches [1] - 11:22	considering [1] - 12:3
2020 [5] - 1:6, 3:11, 5:3, 5:15, 7:10	attempts [1] - 11:14	contains [1] - 14:10
2021 [1] - 1:6	attorney [1] - 3:4	continuing [1] - 11:17
214.969.1700 [1] - 1:23	audio [2] - 14:6, 14:8	contract [33] - 4:9, 4:10, 4:15, 4:17,
24 [1] - 1:6	audio-recorded [1] - 14:6	4:20, 4:22, 4:25, 5:4, 5:10, 6:11, 6:16,
2:58 [1] - 1:5	Austin [1] - 1:19	6:22, 8:6, 8:18, 8:19, 8:22, 9:2, 9:3, 9:4,
310 [1] - 1:13	authorized [1] - 12:11	9:13, 9:23, 10:4, 11:11, 11:12, 11:20,
33 [1] - 8:13	BACK[1] - 10:20	12:8, 12:9, 12:14, 12:18, 12:21, 13:3,
	based [1] - 11:13	13:19
3:09 [1] - 10:10		contributed [1] - 13:18
3:11 [1] - 10:19	BEFORE [1] - 1:9	core [1] - 4:6
3:51 [1] - 13:21	beginning [1] - 3:3	correct [1] - 4:01
4203 [1] - 1:13	benefits [1] - 8:5	counsel [1] - 3:2
4:20-CV-2261 [1] - 1:4	best [2] - 14:7, 14:12	• •
512.469.6180 [1] - 1:19	between [1] - 12:18	course [2] - 3:14, 11:25 court [1] - 10:22
515 [1] - 2:2	Bloomberg [5] - 3:11, 5:15, 6:9, 9:14,	Court [9] - 2:1, 3:24, 6:15, 8:25, 9:12,
713.250.5581 [1] - 2:3	11:16	
713.526.2900 [1] - 1:14	BLOOMBERG [1] - 1:6	9:20, 12:2, 14:4, 14:19
75201 [1] - 1:22	Blvd [2] - 1:13, 1:18	COURT [18] - 1:1, 3:1, 3:6, 3:9, 3:13,
77002 [1] - 2:2	bold [1] - 8:1	4:2, 4:19, 5:6, 6:4, 6:18, 10:2, 10:8,
77006 [1] - 1:14	bonus [1] - 8:7	10:11, 10:20, 10:21, 11:3, 11:6, 13:14
78701 [1] - 1:19	Brailey [2] - 5:16, 13:18	covered [1] - 9:17
98 [1] - 1:18	breach [3] - 6:16, 8:21, 12:22	create [1] - 8:6
ability [3] - 4:9, 14:7, 14:13	break [1] - 10:9	critical [1] - 11:4
able [1] - 13:2	brought [1] - 4:18	CRR [3] - 2:1, 14:3, 14:18
absolutely [1] - 4:4	bunch [1] - 7:6	crux [1] - 3:23
accept [3] - 4:24, 5:6, 9:14	CALLED [1] - 10:20	Curry [2] - 1:21, 3:12
accepting [1] - 8:20	campaign [8] - 5:16, 5:20, 6:6, 6:10,	Dallas [1] - 1:22
acknowledge [1] - 12:13	7:13, 7:24, 11:15, 12:24	damages [1] - 12:21
acknowledges [1] - 9:12	candidate [4] - 7:10, 7:11, 7:17, 7:20	defeat [1] - 12:25
actions [1] - 9:19	cannot [1] - 11:18	DEFENDANT [1] - 1:16
adds [1] - 8:2	Carla [1] - 5:16	defendant [1] - 11:1
admit [1] - 9:10	Carrol [1] - 1:12	defendant's [1] - 3:17
affidavit [5] - 5:13, 11:23, 13:12, 13:16	Carroll [2] - 3:4, 10:24	defense [3] - 3:23, 4:6, 10:15
affidavits [1] - 11:25	Casanova [2] - 8:8, 8:10	described [1] - 9:21
aforesaid [1] - 14:11	case [11] - 4:9, 4:10, 4:13, 5:17, 8:8,	designations [1] - 14:7
afraid [1] - 11:11	9:9, 10:7, 10:13, 12:17, 13:2, 14:6	despite [1] - 13:4
agree [3] - 4:2, 6:21, 13:15	cases [1] - 9:1	different [2] - 3:15, 6:11
agreed [1] - 9:5	caught [1] - 6:5	disappointed [1] - 6:9
agreement [5] - 4:7, 7:2, 8:4, 8:14,	CERTIFICATE[1] - 14:1	disconnected [1] - 11:4
11:21	certify [1] - 14:3	discovery [5] - 5:19, 5:21, 9:7, 9:10,
agreements [1] - 6:25	chance [1] - 9:16	9:17
allegations [2] - 8:20, 12:4	change [1] - 4:15	dismiss [8] - 10:7, 10:15, 10:16, 11:10,
alleged [1] - 12:19	changes [2] - 6:20, 8:13	12:3, 13:6, 13:7
alleges [1] - 11:15	characterized [1] - 4:7	dismissed [4] - 5:18, 6:15, 7:5, 9:9
allow [1] - 5:21	circumstances [1] - 3:15	District [2] - 12:17, 14:5
allowed [1] - 5:25	claim [4] - 3:16, 6:16, 8:15, 8:21	DISTRICT [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:9
alter [1] - 8:16	claims [2] - 7:3, 8:1	Division [1] - 14:5
amended [8] - 5:13, 7:3, 7:7, 7:15,	clear [6] - 3:24, 7:2, 7:4, 7:20, 8:11,	DIVISION [1] - 1:2
7:16, 7:25, 12:2, 12:6	13:11	document [1] - 4:25
amendment [2] - 4:8, 9:23	clearly [1] - 8:11	documents [1] - 5:20
amount [1] - 11:18	clerks [1] - 6:5	Donald [1] - 12:25
apologize [1] - 11:6	clever[1] - 12:23	Dr [1] - 5:16
appearances [1] - 3:2	commitment [1] - 6:10	duly [1] - 12:11
APPEARANCES[1] - 1:11	complained [1] - 7:23	early [1] - 9:1
applicable [1] - 12:19 Johnny C.	complaint[12] - 5:13, 7:3, 7:8, 7:15, Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtrepor	either [1] - 6:23
Johnny C.	anenez, www, car - jescourtrepor	LOT GAOT . COM

election [1] - 6:9	HONORABLE [1] - 1:9	mechanical [1] - 2:4
ELLISON [1] - 1:9	hope [1] - 3:1	meeting [2] - 8:18, 11:18
Ellison [1] - 10:21	HOUSTON [1] - 1:2	Meghan [3] - 1:17, 3:12, 11:2
employed [4] - 5:2, 5:14, 7:11	Houston [4] - 1:4, 1:14, 2:2, 14:5	Mike [1] - 3:11
employee[1] - 4:18	HR [1] - 5:22	MIKE [1] - 1:6
employees [3] - 6:2, 7:13, 11:16	ignore [1] - 12:15	mind [1] - 11:19
employees[3] - 0.2, 7.13, 71.10 employment[11] - 4:1, 4:20, 5:24, 6:3,	ignores [1] - 7:18	minds [1] - 8:18
	•	1
6:8, 6:22, 7:24, 8:6, 9:18, 11:17, 13:3	importantly [1] - 9:19	minute [1] - 7:14
end [1] - 11:8	improper [2] - 12:1, 13:16	misrepresentations [1] - 4:3
enforceable [2] - 8:6, 12:10	inaudible [2] - 12:24, 14:7	modification [15] - 4:14, 4:18, 4:22,
enhance [1] - 8:5	Inc [1] - 3:11	4:24, 5:1, 5:5, 6:12, 7:22, 8:15, 8:16,
entirely [1] - 4:3	INC [1] - 1:6	10:3, 10:5, 11:11, 11:13, 13:19
entitled [2] - 5:18, 14:6	includes [1] - 7:9	modified [4] - 4:10, 9:2, 9:13, 10:4
essentially [1] - 3:22	including [1] - 11:16	modify [3] - 5:10, 11:19, 12:8
estoppel [3] - 6:17, 12:16, 12:19	inconsistent [1] - 10:5	Monthly [1] - 5:9
evidence [1] - 9:22	increase [1] - 8:8	MOTION [1] - 1:7
exactly [1] - 5:17	indicate [1] - 11:12	motion [7] - 3:18, 10:15, 11:10, 11:24,
except [1] - 4:16	indicated [1] - 7:17	12:3, 12:5, 13:6
excerpt [1] - 5:9	instead [1] - 12:20	motions [2] - 10:17, 13:7
existing [1] - 12:8	interference [1] - 14:8	MR [17] - 3:4, 3:7, 3:10, 3:22, 4:4, 4:21,
express [2] - 11:19, 12:22	interpretation [1] - 13:1	5:12, 6:14, 6:19, 8:23, 10:3, 10:24,
extension [2] - 8:8, 9:18	interview [2] - 7:9, 7:11	11:1, 11:5, 13:8, 13:9, 13:10
fact [6] - 5:19, 6:2, 9:10, 9:21, 13:12	INTO [1] - 10:20	must [1] - 12:20
facts [1] - 11:12	involves [1] - 3:14	nature [1] - 11:20
fails [1] - 11:12	issue [3] - 4:10, 4:13, 4:25	NBC [2] - 5:8, 5:9
Fair [1] - 5:8	issues [1] - 9:7	need [1] - 10:2
February [1] - 1:6	itself [1] - 7:10	negate [1] - 8:4
Federal [1] - 14:4	Jacinto [1] - 1:18	new [3] - 8:2, 8:18, 11:7
filed [1] - 5:13		news [1] - 5:9
	January [1] - 7:10	nominee [1] - 7:12
filing [1] - 3:24	Jennifer [1] - 1:17	nothing [2] - 6:19, 8:2
first [2] - 11:15, 12:1	job [1] - 7:21	notion [1] - 12:23
folks [1] - 5:22	Johnny [3] - 2:1, 14:3, 14:18	1
footnote [2] - 7:8, 7:19	JOHNNY [1] - 14:17	November [5] - 5:3, 5:15, 7:25, 9:6, 11:17
FOR [2] - 1:12, 1:16	Judge [1] - 12:16	
foregoing [2] - 14:6, 14:10	JUDGE [1] - 1:9	number [1] - 11:25
former [1] - 6:5	keep [2] - 7:13, 7:17	obligation [2] - 8:7, 8:16
forming [1] - 11:21	KEITH [1] - 1:9	obligations [1] - 8:14
forth [1] - 12:11	Keith [1] - 10:21	October [1] - 6:15
fraud [3] - 4:11, 5:4, 9:3	kind [1] - 5:10	OF [1] - 1:1
frauds [1] - 12:14	KNIGHT [1] - 1:21	offer [1] - 10:14
full [1] - 14:10	KNOGHT [1] - 1:18	officer [1] - 12:11
fundamentally [1] - 12:7	language [2] - 4:19, 12:14	offices [1] - 7:18
general [1] - 13:18	last [3] - 3:1, 9:20	Official [2] - 14:4, 14:19
generally [2] - 3:18, 12:6	LAW [1] - 1:13	onboard [1] - 4:18
grant [1] - 13:6	law [11] - 4:9, 4:21, 5:3, 5:7, 6:5, 6:25,	once [1] - 7:1
greatest [1] - 13:5	8:19, 9:12, 10:1, 10:14, 13:2	one [5] - 4:12, 5:4, 6:5, 7:8, 9:17
Greg [1] - 3:12	lawyer [1] - 10:12	operation [1] - 5:23
Gregory [1] - 1:21	lawyers [1] - 5:22	opportunity [1] - 12:25
GROUP [1] - 1:13	leadership [2] - 5:15, 6:1	oral [11] - 4:14, 4:17, 4:22, 4:24, 5:1,
guaranteed [1] - 7:21	least [2] - 5:21, 8:25	5:5, 7:22, 8:21, 9:7, 10:3, 11:13
half [1] - 7:15	leave [1] - 11:24	orally [3] - 4:10, 9:2, 9:13
hard [1] - 12:14	less [1] - 4:12	organization [1] - 12:12
hear [1] - 6:13	letter [1] - 12:10	original [1] - 7:23
hearing [2] - 9:20, 14:6	limited [1] - 12:2	own [2] - 9:19, 11:22
HEARING [1] - 1:7	link [2] - 7:9, 7:15	p.m [4] - 1:5, 10:10, 10:19, 13:21
hello [1] - 3:6	linked [1] - 7:7	papers [1] - 3:21
help [1] - 12:25	LLP [2] - 1:18, 1:21	Paragraph [1] - 8:13
himself [1] - 11:16	magnanimous [1] - 9:21	parties [2] - 10:23, 12:18
hired [1] - 7:14	_	party [2] - 6:23, 12:20
	materially [1] - 8:16	party [2] - 0.23, 12.25 pay [2] - 4:16, 8:8
Honor [10] - 3:8, 3:10, 3:22, 5:12, 6:14,	matter [1] - 14:10	pay [2] - 4:10, 6:6 payment [1] - 4:16
6:21, 8:23, 13:8, 13:9, 13:10	McCaig [3] - 1:17, 3:12, 11:2	10 10 10 7
honor [1] - 6:10 Johnny C.	mean [3] -4:23 , 9:8 , 9:20 Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtrepor	rter@aol.com
<u> </u>	1	

Street [1] - 1:22 people [1] - 9:17 result [1] - 8:15 performable [1] - 4:12 **Rex** [3] - 1:17, 3:11, 11:1 strongly [1] - 10:13 period [1] - 4:16 **RMR** [3] - 2:1, 14:3, 14:18 subject [5] - 4:11, 4:22, 4:23, 5:4, 9:3 permit [1] - 5:7 **Robinson** [9] - 1:12, 3:5, 3:6, 3:17, subsequent [2] - 4:1, 11:13 petition [1] - 11:24 4:2, 10:12, 10:24, 11:4, 13:5 substantive [1] - 9:7 plaintiff [6] - 3:3, 3:5, 7:9, 7:21, 9:5, **ROBINSON** [13] - 1:13, 3:4, 3:7, 3:22, sufficient [2] - 11:12, 11:19 4:4, 4:21, 5:12, 8:23, 10:3, 10:24, 11:5, sufficiently [1] - 12:8 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 1:12 13:8, 13:10 Suite [3] - 1:13, 1:18, 1:22 Rosenthal [1] - 12:16 supplement [1] - 11:24 plaintiff's [3] - 6:16, 8:14, 8:20 Routh [1] - 1:22 plaintiffs [2] - 7:1, 7:20 support [2] - 8:9, 8:12 RPR [2] - 2:1, 14:18 plead [1] - 11:12 supported [1] - 13:1 run [1] - 11:14 pleading [3] - 5:18, 9:8, 10:6 **Supreme** [2] - 8:25, 9:12 Rusk [1] - 2:2 pleadings [1] - 12:2 survived [1] - 3:1 plenty [1] - 11:8 sale [1] - 13:1 team [2] - 5:15, 5:16 salvage [1] - 7:3 point [1] - 5:17 telephone [1] - 11:7 pointed [1] - 6:21 San [1] - 1:18 telephonic [1] - 14:8 precluded [1] - 14:9 **SANCHEZ**[1] - 14:17 term [4] - 4:15, 4:17, 8:8, 11:19 Sanchez [4] - 2:1, 10:22, 14:3, 14:18 present [1] - 11:25 terminated [1] - 6:23 SCOTT [1] - 1:4 primaries [1] - 7:14 terms [5] - 4:15, 7:2, 8:4, 11:10, 12:10 problem [2] - 6:6, 13:8 **Scott** [10] - 3:3, 5:13, 5:24, 5:25, 7:10, TEXAS[1] - 1:1 9:16, 10:25, 11:11, 11:22, 12:23 problems [1] - 11:25 Texas [19] - 1:4, 1:14, 1:19, 1:22, 2:2, proceedings [1] - 14:12 Scott's [2] - 10:7, 12:24 4:8, 4:21, 5:3, 5:7, 5:9, 5:16, 5:25, 6:2, Proceedings [1] - 2:4 secondly [1] - 11:22 6:25, 8:19, 8:25, 9:12, 10:14, 14:5 produced [2] - 2:4, 5:20 seek [1] - 12:20 **THE** [19] - 1:9, 1:12, 1:16, 3:1, 3:6, 3:9, senior [1] - 11:15 promise [11] - 3:24, 4:24, 5:2, 6:1, 6:3, 3:13, 4:2, 4:19, 5:6, 6:4, 6:18, 10:2, seriously [1] - 7:1 10:8, 10:11, 10:21, 11:3, 11:6, 13:14 8:5, 8:7, 8:10, 12:19, 12:20, 13:12 promises [3] - 5:24, 11:13, 11:15 services [2] - 12:24, 13:1 themselves [2] - 9:22, 10:4 **SESSION** [1] - 10:20 **THOMPSON** [2] - 1:18, 1:21 **promissory** [3] - 6:16, 12:16, 12:19 set [2] - 3:15, 12:10 prove [1] - 9:16 three [1] - 7:14 sets [1] - 11:14 **Tracy** [2] - 5:13, 10:25 provision [2] - 10:5, 10:6 public [2] - 11:16, 11:18 shall [1] - 12:10 TRACY[1] - 1:4 **short** [2] - 8:17, 10:8 transcribed [1] - 14:5 publicly [2] - 5:23, 6:8 puts [1] - 8:1 sign [2] - 7:1 Transcript [1] - 2:4 signed [2] - 6:6, 12:11 transcript [1] - 14:11 quite [1] - 8:10 similar [1] - 11:23 transcription [1] - 2:4 quote [1] - 8:15 simply [2] - 4:15, 4:16 trial [1] - 9:8 quotes [1] - 7:16 skill [1] - 14:12 trouble [1] - 3:2 read [1] - 3:21 reason [1] - 8:9 sold [2] - 12:23, 12:24 true [4] - 5:7, 8:20, 9:5, 14:10 reasons [1] - 13:4 sole [1] - 4:1 Trump [1] - 12:25 sophisticated [1] - 5:22 trying [1] - 11:7 recent [1] - 12:17 sorry [1] - 10:11 Turner [1] - 5:16 Recessed [3] - 10:10, 10:19, 13:21 Southern [2] - 12:17, 14:4 two [2] - 8:25, 11:14 recognizes [5] - 4:9, 4:21, 5:3, 9:1, **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:1 type [1] - 8:2 specific [6] - 6:22, 7:18, 8:4, 12:8, typewritten [1] - 14:10 record [1] - 13:11 13:13, 13:19 under [4] - 8:14, 8:19, 10:14, 12:21 recorded [2] - 2:4, 14:6 specifically [3] - 5:25, 7:12, 11:21 reference [1] - 11:21 undue [1] - 3:2 referenced [1] - 12:1 spoken [1] - 14:9 unfortunate [1] - 3:14 reflects [1] - 13:12 staff [1] - 7:18 unilateral [2] - 8:5, 8:10 stage [3] - 5:18, 9:16, 10:6 reiterates [1] - 8:1 UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:9 stages [1] - 9:8 unless [1] - 12:10 reliance [1] - 5:7 stands [1] - 8:19 up [2] - 6:5, 6:6 relief [2] - 3:16, 10:14 start [1] - 9:25 report [1] - 5:8 valid [2] - 8:19, 10:15 statement [10] - 3:25, 5:8, 5:19, 7:19, validated [1] - 6:1 reported [1] - 14:12 8:5, 9:4, 9:10, 9:25, 12:9 reporter [1] - 10:22 Vanity [1] - 5:8 Reporter [3] - 2:1, 14:4, 14:19 **statements** [9] - 6:7, 7:24, 8:3, 9:14, VanMiddlesworth [9] - 1:17, 3:10, 11:17, 11:18, 11:20, 12:7, 13:17 3:11, 6:13, 6:14, 6:19, 11:1, 11:2, 13:9 representatives [1] - 7:23 requirement[1] - 4:8 **STATES**[2] - 1:1, 1:9 various [1] - 11:15 statute [4] - 4:11, 5:4, 9:3, 12:13 requirements [1] - 9:23 varying [1] - 12:9 stay [1] - 9:5 viable [1] - 3:16 respect [1] - 13:5 stenography [1] - 2:4 video [1] - 7:9 respective [1] - 10:23 still [3] - 5:18, 5:23, 12:14 videos [1] - 7:7 respond [2] - 3:19, 8:24 straightforward[1] - 13:3 Johnny C. Sanchez, RMR, CRR - jcscourtreport **VS**[1]-1:5 cer@aol.com response [1] - 11:23

waived [1] - 9:22 warranty [1] - 12:22 week [1] - 3:1 welcome [1] - 3:13 whole [1] - 11:7 William [1] - 1:21 words [2] - 12:18, 14:9 world [2] - 3:19, 11:7 writing [1] - 12:11 written [12] - 4:7, 4:8, 4:25, 5:10, 6:25, 8:14, 8:19, 9:2, 9:3, 9:13, 9:22, 11:19 wronged [1] - 12:20 year [1] - 4:12 Yoakum [1] - 1:13 **YVETTE** [1] - 1:4